# Case 21-01167-abl Doc 22 Entered 12/10/21 15:33:04 Page 1 of 10

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Bart K. Larsen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8538 Kyle M. Wyant, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14652 SHEA LARSEN 1731 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Telephone: (702) 471-7432 Fax: (702) 926-9683 Email: blarsen@shea.law kwyant@shea.law Attorneys for HASelect-Medical Receivables	
	8	Litigation Finance Fund International SP	DUDTON COUDT
	9	UNITED STATES BANK	
	10	DISTRICT OF N	NEVADA
	11	In re:	Case No. 21-14486-abl
150	12	INFINITY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	Chapter 7
EN e, Suite 89134		Debtor.	
ARS r Circl	11-7432 14-1432	HASELECT-MEDICAL RECEIVABLES	
SHEA LARSEN 1731 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 1 as Veras Nevada 89134	(702) 471-7432 (703) 471-7432	LITIGATION FINANCE FUND INTERNATIONAL SP,	
<b>SF</b>   Villa	g 16	Plaintiff,	Adversary Case No. 21-01167-abl
173	17	v.	
	18	TECUMSEH-INFINITY MEDICAL	
	19	RECEIVABLES FUND, LP,	
	20	Defendant.	
	21	TECUMSEH-INFINITY MEDICAL	
	22	RECEIVABLES FUND, LP,	
	23	Counter-Plaintiff,	
	24	V.	
	25	HASELECT-MEDICAL RECEIVABLES LITIGATION FINANCE FUND	
	26	INTERNATIONAL SP; ROBERT E. ATKINSON, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE	
	27	Counter-Defendants.	
	28		

# 1731 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

702) 471-7432

## REPLY TO TECUMSEH-INFINITY MEDICAL RECEIVABLES FUND LP'S **COUNTERCLAIM**

Plaintiff HASELECT-MEDICAL RECEIVABLES LITIGATION FINANCE FUND INTERNATIONAL SP ("Plaintiff" or "HASelect"), by and through its counsel, Shea Larsen PC, hereby submits this Reply Counterclaimant TECUMSEH-INFINITY MEDICAL to RECEIVABLES FUND LP'S ("Counterclaimant" or "Tecumseh") Counterclaim as follows:

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 1. In response to Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 2. In response to Paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- In response to Paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without 3. sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 4. In response to Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 5. In response to Paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 6. In response to Paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations. HASelect further denies allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim on the basis that these allegations are improper legal conclusions.
- 7. In response to Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

702) 471-7432

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	such, denies the	hose allegations. HASelect further denies allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the		
Counterclaim on the basis that these allegations are improper legal conclusions.  8. In response to Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations forth therein.				
3	8.	In response to Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set		
4	forth therein.			
5				

- 9. In response to Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.
- 10. In response to Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.
- 11. In response to Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 12. In response to Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 13. In response to Paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 14. In response to Paragraph 14 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (DECLARATORY RELIEF AGAINST TRUSTEE AND HASELECT)

- 15. In response to Paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim, HASelect repeats and restates its responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 16. In response to Paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
  - 17. In response to Paragraph 17 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without

cle, 9 a 89	
	Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
	/illage Center
Cir.	/illage Cer
iter Circ Nevada	/illage .as Veg
Center Circ gas, Nevada	/illi/ .as
age Center Cir. Vegas, Nevada	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, a	as
such, denies those allegations.	

- 18. In response to Paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 19. In response to Paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 20. In response to Paragraph 20 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 21. In response to Paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 22. In response to Paragraph 22 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 23. In response to Paragraph 23 of the Counterclaim, HASelect admits that it has a perfected security interest in all of Debtor Infinity Capital Management, Inc.'s ("Debtor") personal property, including, but not limited to, the Collateral as defined in HASelect's Adversary Complaint. HASelect further admits that its security interest is senior and superior to the interests of Tecumseh in the Collateral.
- 24. In response to Paragraph 24 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.
- 25. In response to Paragraph 25 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 26. In response to Paragraph 26 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full. HASelect also denies that Tecumseh is entitled to any relief relating to the Collateral at issue, and expressly denies each and every assertion in the wherefore clause contained in Paragraph 26 of the

1731 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Counterclaim.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

702) 471-7432

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (DECLARATORY RELIEF AGAINST TRUSTEE AND HASELECT)

- 27. In response to Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaim, HASelect repeats and restates its responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 28. In response to Paragraph 28 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 29. In response to Paragraph 29 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 30. In response to Paragraph 30 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it is without sufficient information or knowledge to either admit or deny the allegation set forth therein and, as such, denies those allegations.
- 31. In response to Paragraph 31 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 32. In response to Paragraph 32 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 33. In response to Paragraph 33 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 34. In response to Paragraph 34 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 35. In response to Paragraph 35 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 36. In response to Paragraph 36 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.
- 37. In response to Paragraph 37 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

702) 471-7432

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

38. In response to Paragraph 36 of the Counterclaim, HASelect admits that it has
perfected security interest in all of Debtor's personal property, including, but not limited to, the
Collateral as defined in HASelect's Adversary Complaint. HASelect further admits that its security
interest is senior and superior to the interests of Tecumseh in the Collateral.

- 39. In response to Paragraph 39 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.
- In response to Paragraph 40 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts 40. improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- In response to Paragraph 41 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full. HASelect also denies that Tecumseh is entitled to any relief relating to the Collateral at issue, and expressly denies each and every assertion in the wherefore clause contained in Paragraph 41 of the Counterclaim.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (DECLARATORY RELIEF AGAINST TRUSTEE AND HASELECT)

- 42. In response to Paragraph 42 of the Counterclaim, HASelect repeats and restates its responses to the foregoing paragraphs as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 43. In response to Paragraph 43 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.
- 44. In response to Paragraph 44 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 45. In response to Paragraph 45 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 46. In response to Paragraph 46 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 47. In response to Paragraph 47 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

702) 471-7432

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 48. In response to Paragraph 48 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 49. In response to Paragraph 49 of the Counterclaim, HASelect denies the allegations set forth therein.
- 50. In response to Paragraph 50 of the Counterclaim, HASelect admits the allegations set forth therein.
- 51. In response to Paragraph 51 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full.
- 52. In response to Paragraph 52 of the Counterclaim, HASelect states that it asserts improper legal conclusions, and HASelect denies the allegations contained therein in full. HASelect also denies that Tecumseh is entitled to any relief relating to the Collateral at issue, and expressly denies each and every assertion in the wherefore clause contained in Paragraph 52 of the Counterclaim.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

- 1. The Counterclaim, in whole or in part, fails to state any claim against HASelect upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. Counterclaimant's claims are barred by virtue of its own unclean hands and inequitable conduct.
  - 3. Counterclaimant's claims are barred by the doctrine of estoppel.
- 4. Counterclaimant's alleged damages either do not exist or were are caused by Counterclaimant's own conduct.
- 5. Counterclaimant's claims, each of them, are barred and precluded by HASelect's perfected security interest in the Tecumseh Receivables.
- 6. Counterclaimant does not have a perfected security interest in any of Debtor's assets, including, but not limited to, the Tecumseh Receivables.
- 7. Counterclaimant's claims for a resulting, express, constructive, or equitable trust cannot succeed as a matter of law because equitable claims are subordinate to and cannot overcome perfected security interests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	8.	Counterclaimant's claims fail as a matter of law because Counterclaimant was on
notice	of HAS	elect's perfected security interest in the personal property of Debtor, including, but
not limited to, the Tecumseh Receivables.		
	_	

- Counterclaimant was aware of Debtor's business relationship HASelect and 9. HASelect's security interest in substantially all of Debtor's personal property prior to the inception of Counterclaimant's business relationship with Debtor.
  - 10. Counterclaimant's claims are barred by Counterclaimant's own negligence.
- 11. Counterclaimant lacks the clear and convincing evidence necessary to establish a resulting, express, constructive, or equitable trust.
- 12. Counterclaimant's claims are barred because Debtor contributed money to purchase or held an ownership interest in the Tecumseh Receivables.
  - 13. Counterclaimant's claims are barred by the doctrine of waiver.

WHEREFORE, HASelect prays for relief as follows:

- 1. Dismissal of the Counterclaim in its entirety;
- 2. An award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs to HASelect for the defense of this matter; and
  - 3. For such other relief as the Court deems reasonable and proper.

Dated this 10th day of December 2021.

#### SHEA LARSEN

/s/ Bart K. Larsen, Esq. Bart K. Larsen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8538 1731 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Attorneys for HASelect-Medical Receivables Litigation Finance Fund International SP

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 1. On December 10, 2021, I served the following document(s): **REPLY TO** TECUMSEH-INFINITY MEDICAL RECEIVABLES FUND LP'S 3 **COUNTERCLAIM** 4 2. I served the above document(s) by the following means to the persons as listed below: 5 |X|ECF System: a. 6 CLARISSE L. CRISOSTOMO on behalf of Counter-Defendant ROBERT E. ATKINSON 7 clarisse@nv-lawfirm.com, bknotices@nv-lawfirm.com GERALD M GORDON on behalf of Counter-Claimant TECUMSEH-INFINITY 8 MEDICAL RECEIVABLES FUND, LP 9 ggordon@gtg.legal, bknotices@gtg.legal MICHAEL D. NAPOLI on behalf of Defendant TECUMSEH-INFINITY MEDICAL 10 RECEIVABLES FUND, LP michael.napoli@akerman.com, 11 cindy.ferguson@akerman.com;catherine.kretzschmar@akerman.com;laura.taveras@akerm 1731 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 12 an.com;masterdocketlit@akerman.com Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 SHEA LARSEN 13 ARIEL E. STERN on behalf of Defendant TECUMSEH-INFINITY MEDICAL RECEIVABLES FUND, LP 14 ariel.stern@akerman.com, akermanlas@akerman.com 15 П b. United States mail, postage fully prepaid: 16 П c. Personal Service: 17 I personally delivered the document(s) to the persons at these addresses: 18 For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made by 19 handing the document(s) at the attorney's office with a clerk or other person in charge, or if no one is in charge by leaving the document(s) in a conspicuous place in the office. 20 21 For a party, delivery was made by handling the document(s) to the party or by leaving the document(s) at the person's dwelling house or usual 22 place of abode with someone of suitable age and discretion residing there. 23 П d. By direct email (as opposed to through the ECF System): Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by email or a 24 court order, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the persons at the email addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the 25 transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 26 П By fax transmission: e. 27 Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by fax 28 transmission or a court order, I faxed the document(s) to the persons at the fax

# Case 21-01167-abl Doc 22 Entered 12/10/21 15:33:04 Page 10 of 10

1	numbers listed below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax transmission is attached.
2	☐ f. By messenger:
3	
4	I served the document(s) by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below and providing them to a messenger for service.
5	
6	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
	Dated: December 10, 2021.
/	By: /s/ Bart K. Larsen, Esq,
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	
10	
11	
ite 150 4 15	
se, Su 8913.	
er Circ Jevada 71-743	
e Cent egas, N 702) 4'	
illag vas v. )	
31	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
28	
,	2 3 4 5 6 7 7 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2